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Abstract

The next-generation DNA sequencing workflows require an accurate quantification of the DNA molecules to be sequenced which assures optimal performance of the instrument. Here, we demonstrate the use of qPCR for quantification of DNA libraries used in next-generation sequencing. In addition, we find that qPCR quantification may allow improvements to current NGS workflows, including reducing the amount of library DNA required, increasing the accuracy in quantifying amplifiable DNA, and avoiding amplification bias by reducing or eliminating the need to amplify DNA before sequencing.

1. Introduction

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) platforms such as the Illumina Genome Analyzer, Roche 454-FLX, or ABI SOLID have revolutionized genomics by producing hundreds of megabases of sequence information in a single run. In order to optimize the amount of sequencing information, and thereby reducing the cost per base pair sequenced, it is vital to optimize the amount of a prepared DNA library for a sequencing run. For example, for the Illumina Genome Analyzer, the DNA library is immobilized by hybridization on a chip and amplified in situ in a process termed cluster generation. If the amount of DNA loaded is too high, the DNA clusters generated will overlap and thereby affect the quality of sequencing data. Loading a suboptimal amount of DNA results in a low cluster density, and reduces sequencing efficiency\cite{1}. One current solution is to measure the amount of library material using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer with the High Sensitivity DNA Kit for quantification of sequence-ready libraries down to the low pg/\mu\textit{l} range. In addition, other DNA quantification methods such as UV spectrophotometry, or fluorescent nucleic acid stains are widely-used. However, it is important to note that with these methods, DNA fragments lacking the necessary adapters for cluster generation will also be measured. If DNA fragments missing adapter sequences are present, this can result in a lower cluster density than expected when a standard concentration of DNA is loaded onto the cluster generation station. Here, we highlight the use of qPCR for accurate determination of library quantity at high sensitivity of detection.

2. Library preparation

DNA library preparation followed the standard Illumina protocol for paired-end sequencing with a few modifications\cite{2}. Briefly, 3 \mu\textit{g} of genomic DNA (Coriell) was sheared using a Covaris E210 instrument to a median fragment size of 200–250 bp. The products were end-repaired, 3\textsuperscript{0} non-template A’s were added, and paired-end adapters were ligated. After size selection on a 4\% Nusieve 3:1 agarose gel and QiaQuick gel extraction, 23 \mu\textit{l} of the library was amplified by 6–8 cycles of PCR using the Illumina PE 1.0 and 2.0 containing sequences required for cluster generation on the flow cell.

3. SureSelect enrichment for targeted resequencing

We recently released the Agilent SureSelect Target Enrichment System that provides specific enrichment of user-defined subsets of a genome\cite{3,4}. The method is based on hybridization of genomic DNA libraries to custom biotinylated 120mer RNA probes and subsequent immobilization on magnetic beads, followed by wash and elution steps. To verify the process we tested enrichment of several libraries with different RNA capture probe sets specific to the human X chromosome, all human exons, or regions on chromosome 4. After elution of the captured DNA fragments, the library was reamplified for 12–14 cycles of PCR with SureSelect Illumina-specific primers. Amplification enables accurate quantification using the Bioanalyzer High Sensitivity chip before sequencing.
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4. Bioanalyzer quantification

Quantification of the enriched libraries was done using a High Sensitivity DNA kit on the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer according to the manufacturer’s instructions [5]. Briefly, the reamplified material was diluted 1:50 and 1:100 and 1 μl was run on a primed chip along with DNA markers for size determination and quantification. The concentration was determined on fragment sizes from 160 to 400 bp using the Bioanalyzer software. The data were corrected for dilution and averaged.

5. qPCR quantification

Measurement of DNA libraries by qPCR should give an accurate quantity of library material [4]. An added benefit of this method is that only DNA with adapters ligated to both ends can be measured, as only these fragments can be amplified to generate material for sequencing. This minimizes overestimation of the DNA concentration from fragments with no or only one adapter. Principally, two sources of a standard can be used for quantification. A dilution series of a previously quantified DNA library that was successfully
sequenced on the appropriate platform can be used as a standard. If a library of similar properties is chosen, differences in amplification of the standard and the test material are minimized and will result in accurate quantification. Alternatively, a plasmid standard can be used to measure the amount of DNA library. This approach has the advantage that the standard can be easily reproduced in the future and can be used in many labs to compare independent measurements of different DNA libraries. A concern, however, is the difference in complexity between a plasmid-based standard and the library sample. In addition, the library might contain elements that are difficult to amplify, such as inserts rich in G and C bases. If there is a negative bias toward GC-rich inserts, the quantification of a GC-rich library might be hampered.

We generated a plasmid standard by cloning a portion of a human genomic Illumina paired-end DNA library into StrataClone vector (pSC-B, Stratagene) and randomly selecting clones with different inserts. A clone with a 170 bp insert between the paired-end adapters and 48% GC content was chosen as a reference standard, because this clone represents a typical insert size and GC content. To investigate whether sequence and length of the insert influences amplification efficiency or linearity, we tested four additional clones with insert lengths of 70, 81, 193, and 283 bp and GC content of 24%, 43%, 47%, and 61%, respectively. All five clones tested showed a similar efficiency of approximately 100% in qPCR reactions under standard conditions with Brilliant II SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix (Agilent Technologies-Stratagene products, data not shown).

To prepare the plasmid standard for routine use, the DNA was quantified by spectroscopy and linearized by digestion with EcoRI. The standard curve was generated by diluting a 100 PM stock solution of the standard in 0.1% Tween 20 to 1 PM followed by 6 more 10-fold dilution steps. Two microliters of each dilution was amplified with primers to the distal end of the Illumina adapters P5 (5′-AATGATACGGCGACCACCGA) and P7 (5′-CAAGCAGAAGACGGCAA TACGA) at 400 nM each. We used Brilliant II SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix or the new Brilliant III Ultra-Fast SYBR Green Master Mix (Agilent Technologies-Stratagene products, data not shown).

Table 1A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>DNA library source</th>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>nM bioanalyzer</th>
<th>nM QPCR</th>
<th>Ratio QPCR vs MA</th>
<th>Cluster density (clusters/ tile)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA15510</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>56.29</td>
<td>79.66</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>156,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA10831</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>35.39</td>
<td>71.29</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>202,748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA15510</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>42.97</td>
<td>65.82</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>155,652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NA15510</td>
<td>MB Chr 4</td>
<td>21.61</td>
<td>29.02</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>128,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA18507</td>
<td>Human all exon</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>176,565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>NA18507</td>
<td>Human all exon</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>179,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>NA10831</td>
<td>Human all exon</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>170,645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>NA10831</td>
<td>Human all exon</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>178,033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A signal from a non-template control (NTC) is not observed and the standard curve is linear over six orders of magnitude (Fig. 1B). This assay is orders of magnitude more sensitive than necessary, as loading of the cluster generation station is typically done at 2–8 PM of denatured DNA library in a total volume of 120 μl.

Table 1A shows a comparison of the quantification of paired-end libraries measured with the Bioanalyzer (column 4) and by qPCR as described above (column 5). Overall DNA concentrations are generally similar for both methods, and estimates obtained by qPCR quantification are typically slightly higher. For this experiment the libraries were loaded for cluster generation and sequencing on the Illumina GA IIx at 8 PM based on Bioanalyzer quantification after denaturation. The achieved cluster density was in the recommended range of 150,000–20,000 clusters/tile. The results indicate that, for typical library preparations, qPCR quantification is an acceptable orthogonal technique to Bioanalyzer analysis.

6. qPCR for GC-rich fragments

A concern with using a plasmid-based standard is that a DNA library with very different properties might not be accurately quantifiable. Specifically, libraries with very high GC content might result in an underestimation of the concentration because inserts with high GC content may not amplify efficiently in the in the qPCR assay. We previously demonstrated that amplification efficiency is lower in qPCRs employing targets of higher % GC content [6]. To address this issue, we generated two GC-rich fragments from human insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3 (IGFBP3) and attached Illumina paired-end adapter sequences by PCR using Herculase II Fusion DNA polymerase (Agilent Technologies-Stratagene Products) in the presence of 7% DMSO. These GC-rich PCR fragments were compared to two control fragments with qPCR under standard conditions for the Brilliant III Ultra-Fast SYBR Green Master Mix. As demonstrated in Table 1B, two control templates containing 42.7% and 61.3% GC content were amplified with nearly 100% efficiency (R-Squared close to 1.000). However, both GC-rich fragments failed to amplify. Simply increasing the denaturation temperature to 98 °C resulted in successful amplification of both fragments despite a GC content exceeding 78%. Amplification efficiency was slightly lower in qPCR reactions employing a 98 °C denaturation temperature, which may reflect loss of Tag DNA polymerase activity at higher temperature. Based on this observation, increasing the denaturation temperature for all qPCR quantifications is recommended.

7. Concluding remarks

Our results show that libraries can be readily quantified by qPCR using the Agilent Mx3005P QPCR system. One benefit of QPCR is the ability to only detect adapter-containing sequences since identical primer sequences are used for library amplification and qPCR quantification. Moreover, single-strand products resulting from linear amplification (e.g., misbalanced PCR primers) are
detected by qPCR, which can lead to a more accurate estimate of amplifiable DNA.

A noteworthy benefit of qPCR quantification is its sensitivity, which allows researchers to monitor DNA library amount at each step of a next-generation sequencing workflow. For example, when using the SureSelect enrichment protocol, we can accurately measure the amount of DNA eluted from the capture beads and follow the fate of the library at all the steps in the protocol (not shown). This could potentially open up the possibility to sequence enriched libraries without an additional amplification step.

Notably, when using the current standard protocol, only a small fraction of the library preparation is loaded onto the sequencer. The high sensitivity of detection of qPCR provides quantification of libraries that have undergone only a few rounds of PCR amplification or no PCR amplification at all. A significant advantage of avoiding PCR amplification altogether is that this reduces the potential for bias introduced by PCR, virtually eliminates the number of duplicates, and simplifies mapping for de-novo sequencing [7]. An added benefit of these PCR-free libraries is a shorter and simplified workflow for next-generation sequencing. However, libraries produced without amplification will be of limited quantity and contain a significant amount of DNA fragments with no or only one sequencing adapter. Hence, the portrayed qPCR assay appears to be an ideal solution for quantification of PCR-free sequencing libraries.
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